

Course Syllabus

Chauvinism and the Quest for Science

Course Information

Assessment

Policies

Course Expectations

Course Information

Course Description

Chauvinism and the Quest for Science explores the privileging of information in science and among science voices, through the lens of ethical and power structures at play in fields of scientific discovery. Chauvinism is defined more broadly than in common usage, encompassing the categories—the “isms”— that divide people (e.g. racism, sexism, ageism, nationalism). Learners identify a topic of interest in the biological or physical sciences, and explore 1) history of the topic/discovery(ies), 2) key persons associated with the topic/discovery(ies), 3) evidence of the “isms” in the topic/discovery(ies), and 4) aspects of knowledge creation impeded through the “isms.” Learners develop a final project (paper/visual presentation/other, to be negotiated) that communicates the information discovered and relates back to the competence statements.

Course Learning Goals

After completing this course, you will be able to:

- Describe different philosophies of knowledge
- Relate those philosophies of knowledge to science knowledge
- and differentiate between the historical traditions in which science was and is practiced
- Reflect on how classic epistemologies are reflected in the history of practical science and where they might fail
- themes across the individual categories of chauvinism
- changes and similarities in manifestations of the individual categories of chauvinism across history
- how individual categories of chauvinism affect the manifestation of classic epistemologies
- Describe alternative ways of knowing
- ways by which a selected group elaborated on/circumvented classic epistemologies in demonstrating alternative ways of knowing
- Analyze the history of chauvinism on knowledge in a topic of interest in the biological or physical sciences
- and describe key issues within categories of chauvinism introduced in the seminar and learned independently
- Identify and describe methods of knowledge creation, privileges and unprivileged, within categories of chauvinism

Course Resources

TEXT

No text is designated for this course.

E-RESERVES

- Alcock, J. E. (1996). The Propensity to Believe. In P. R. Gross, N. Levitt and M. A. Lewis (Eds.), *The Flight From Science and Reason* (pp. 64-78). New York: New York Academy of Sciences.
- Audi, R. (2003). Introduction: a sketch of the sources and the nature of belief, justification, and knowledge. In *Epistemology: a contemporary introduction to the theory of knowledge* (pp. 1-9). New York: Routledge.
- Audi, R. (2003). Scientific, religious, and moral knowledge. In *Epistemology: a contemporary introduction to the theory of knowledge* (pp. 257-289). New York: Routledge.
- Bing, V. M. and Reid, P. T. (1996). Unknown Women and Unknown Research: Consequences of Color and Class in Feminist Psychology. In N. R. Goldberger, J. M. Tarule, B. M. Cinchy and M. F. Belenky (Eds). *Knowledge, Difference and Power: Essays Inspired by Women's Ways of Knowing* (pp. 175-202). New York: Basic Books.
- Burbank, H. (2007, Spring). German National Identity: Patriotism and Stigma. *Stanford Undergraduate Research Journal*, 6. Retrieved on February 23, 2007 from <http://surj.stanford.edu/archives/2003-05GermanNational.html>.
- Dekker, H., Malová, D. and Hoogendoorn, S. (2003). Nationalism and Its Explanations. *Political Psychology*, 24(2). 345-376.
- Fishman, L. (1996). Feelings and Beliefs. In P. R. Gross, N. Levitt and M. A. Lewis (Eds.), *The Flight From Science and Reason* (pp. 87-95). New York: New York Academy of Sciences.
- Gross, B. R. (1996). Flights of Fancy: Science, Reason and Common Sense. In P. R. Gross, N. Levitt and M. A. Lewis (Eds.), *The Flight From Science and Reason* (pp. 79-86). New York: New York Academy of Sciences.
- Haack, S. (1996). Concern for Truth: What it Means, Why it Matters. In P. R. Gross, N. Levitt and M. A. Lewis (Eds.), *The Flight From Science and Reason* (pp. 57-63). New York: New York Academy of Sciences.
- Hughs, D. M. (1999). Scientific, Feminist and Personal Epistemologies: Conflicts and Opportunities. Retrieved April 1, 2007 from <http://www.uri.edu/artsci/wms/hughes/scifemep.htm>
- Hurtado, A. (1996). Strategic Suspensions: Women of Color Theorize the Production of Knowledge. In N. R. Goldberger, J. M. Tarule, B. M. Cinchy and M. F. Belenky (Eds). *Knowledge, Difference and Power: Essays Inspired by Women's Ways of Knowing* (pp. 372-392). New York: Basic Books.
- Lieven, A. (1997, Fall). Qu'est-ce qu'une nation? Scholarly Debate and the Realities of Eastern Europe. *National Interest*, 49. 10-22.
- Rothí, D. M., Lyons, E. and Chrysochoou, X. (2005). National Attachment and Patriotism in a European Nation: A British Study. *Political Psychology*, 26(1). 135-155.
- Schiebinger, L. (1991). Nobel Networks. In *The Mind Has No Sex? Women in the Origins of Modern Science* (pp. 37-65). Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Schiebinger, L. (1991). Scientific Women in the Craft Tradition. In *The Mind Has No Sex? Women in the Origins of Modern Science* (pp. 66-101). Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Schweickart, P. P. (1996). Speech is Silver, Silence is Gold: The Asymmetrical Intersubjectivity of Communicative Action. In N. R. Goldberger, J. M. Tarule, B. M. Cinchy and M. F. Belenky (Eds). *Knowledge, Difference and Power: Essays Inspired by Women's Ways of Knowing* (pp. 305-331). New York: Basic Books.

WEB SITES

- From Skin to Leather: http://www.unc.edu/courses/rometech/public/content/arts_and_crafts/April_Anderson/aaleather.html
- The Traditional Way—The Colorization of Wood: <http://www.kramers.org/color.htm>
- Hand Papermaking: <http://www.handpapermaking.org/ArticlesforBeginnersIndex.html>
- Basic Silversmithing: <http://www.silverspiderforge.com/silversmithing.html>
- Professional Friends of Wine: <http://www.winepros.org/wine101/enology.htm>

Course Competences

In this course, you will develop the following competences:

Competence	Competence Statement and Facets
E1	Can describe and explain the role that chauvinism, broadly defined, plays in knowledge creation and privileging of knowledge
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Demonstrates conceptual understanding of classic epistemologies and their positions in privileging of information
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Demonstrates conceptual understanding of chauvinism and representative “isms”
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Demonstrates conceptual understanding of alternative ways of knowing and their positions in privileging of information
E2	Can analyze the history of chauvinism on knowledge in a topic of interest in the biological or physical sciences

How the Competences will be Demonstrated in this Course

In keeping with SNL’s Lifelong Learning and Liberal Learning framework, you will engage in College Writing, Critical Thinking, Collaborative Learning and Inquiry/Research, incorporating concepts from Arts and Ideas (ethics), Human Community (history, power and justice) and Scientific World (topic of your choice) domains.

Competence-based assignments in this course are of three types:

- Discussion of your own and others’ work
- Cross-referencing of theories, and application to real-world and historical examples
- Development and presentation of your Final Project

Course Structure

This course consists of 5 modules, one of which consists of 2 units. The estimated time to complete each module is 1 to 2 weeks, as indicated. The Course Map outlines the course and the following Gantt chart guides you as to the minimum time you should allow for preparing each assignment.

To view the course schedule, click on the Schedule link on the left-hand navigation bar. This page contains the most recently updated listing of the topics and assignments due for each week of the course.

Gantt Chart for Time Planning

Assignts*		Wk1		Wk2		Wk3		Wk4			Wk5			Wk6			Wk7			Wk8			Wk9			Wk10					
Module	#	W	F	W	F	M	W	F	M	W	F	M	W	F	M	W	F	M	W	F	M	W	F	M	W	F	M	W	F		
1	1.1																														
	1.2																														
2	2.1																														
	2.2																														
	2.3																														
	2.4																														
3	3.1																														
4	4.1	Final Project Draft																													
	4.2																														
	4.3																														
	4.4																														
5	5.1	Final Project																													

*Discussion continues beyond the assignment due date

[Top](#)

Assessment

Assessment of Learning

Course Grading Scale

A = 95 to 100	A- = 91 to 94	B+ = 88 to 90
B = 85 to 87	B- = 81 to 84	C+ = 77 to 80
C = 73 to 76	C- = 69 to 72	D+ = 65 to 68
D = 61 to 64	F = 60 or below	INC

For SNL courses taken for Pass/Fail, a “Pass” represents a grade of “A” for purposes of financial aid and employer reimbursement.

Students wishing to declare a Pass/Fail option must do so before the end of the 2nd week of the quarter.

Assessment and Grading Policies

Percentages are messy and, to many students, don’t seem as accurate as point distribution, which is how this course is assessed. In general, there are more points for those assignments in which you do more work.

- For every assignment that requires college writing, points are awarded based on spelling, grammar and, in the case of the Final Project, adherence to APA format (see http://www.lib.depaul.edu/eresource/infotype_subject_search.asp?MaterialID=8 or <http://www.dianahacker.com/resdoc/> for style guides)
- For every assignment with multiple questions, points are awarded for each. If you don’t answer 2 out of 7 questions,

you lose 5 points per question. That can add up quickly, so carefully check your work against the assignment.

- For every assignment that calls for a particular format (e.g. list, essay, couple of paragraphs), points are awarded for following the format.
- For every discussion, points are awarded for responses to fellow students that contain an original thought and fewer points are awarded for “me, too” responses without elaboration. This course is heavy on discussion, so the points add up.
- For assignments that impact the progress of the course (e.g. 3 and 4), you are awarded points for being on time and **risk being assigned a topic if you do not respond on time**. The class will not be held up.
- For the Final Project, points are awarded for each element you address, the clarity of your logic and the thoroughness with which you address each element.
- **NOTE: Every written assignment that you submit is evaluated using TurnItIn® plagiarism detection software and will be checked manually, as well.** Documentation of any inappropriate use of material will result in the assignment being returned for corrections ONCE, for ONE assignment. A second case of inappropriate use of material will result in a failing grade for the course and, as required by DePaul’s Dean of Academic Affairs, reporting of the incident to Academic Affairs. Having completed both College Writing and Research Seminar, prerequisites for this course, there should be no misunderstanding of plagiarism.

#	Title	Pts		#	Title	Pts
1	Introduce Yourself	30		7	Classic Epistemology & Science	55
2	How Do You Know What You Know?	40		8	“Ism” Presentation	60
3	Identify “Ism” Preference	15		9	Final Project Draft	45
4	Identify Final Project Topic	15		10	Other Ways of Knowing	55
5	Defining Epistemologies	55		11	Revisited Again: How Do You Know?	40
6	Revisited: How Do You Know?	40		12	Final Project	100
					TOTAL	550

This course is comprised of 550 points, in the distribution above. Below is how those points compare to the standard DePaul University grading scale:

A = 523-550	A- = 501-522	B+ = 484-500
B = 468-483	B- = 446-467	C+ = 424-445
C = 402-423	C- = 380-401	D+ = 358-379
D = 336-357	F = 330 or below	INC

In addition to those elements bulleted above, there is one practice of that you should know about.

The author firmly believes in what the assessment literature calls authentic, cumulative assessment. Simply put, what that means is that the assessments you complete in this course are built into your learning activities, which, of course, are directly related to what you are learning. In addition, it means that whenever possible, you can recapture lost points—with no penalty—if you demonstrate that your understanding has improved. In an online course, recapturing points doesn’t work well with discussion assessment and it doesn’t work well with final projects, even in an on-campus classroom. However, every other written assignment—including drafts of your assignment postings—is open to cumulative assessment, as long as you submit directly to your instructor a revised assignment, *clearly indicating what you’ve changed*. This can be a lot of extra work for the instructor, so you must indicate the change **AND you must submit revisions by the Friday of Week 10**, simply because of the academic calendar.

Online Discussion

Assessment Criteria & Guidelines for Online Discussion Participation

Believe it or not, serious, well-known and well-regarded researchers study nothing but discussion, and assessment in this course incorporates that research. Simply put, there are two components to discussion assessment—content and delivery. In addition, not all discussion is the same.

In online learning, those types of discussion are easily distinguished. An assignment posting is one in which your discussion is centered on submission of your assignment. Each assignment posting is graded, so you must read the discussion assignment, draft your submission (to be certain that you address each component of the assignment), post your submission, and respond to questions/discussion. Your submissions should clearly indicate that you have read and thought about the related material, as well as any discussion already ongoing, as appropriate. College Writing standards apply, although contractions are acceptable, as they make conversation easier. For example:

Unacceptable

I liked what the author said about ethical issues, because I feel the same way.

Better

I liked the way that Pence integrated theory and real ethical issues, such as euthanasia, because it makes the theory easier to understand.

Still Better

I liked the way that Pence integrated theory and real ethical issues, such as euthanasia, because it makes the theory easier to understand. I'm not certain that I would have understood what he meant by a "delimiting" issue if he hadn't talked about the examples.

Best

I liked the way that Pence integrated theory and real ethical issues, such as euthanasia, because it makes the theory easier to understand. I'm not certain that I would have understood what he meant by a "delimiting" issue if he hadn't talked about the examples. However, I don't understand the way he uses the word "delimiting;" can someone help me with that? I thought I understood it after reading Mary's comments, which helped a lot with the whole history of euthanasia, but not quite.

However, that does not mean that every posting must be formally written or include repetition of substantial detail. In subsequent postings, whether you are responding to another student's assignment posting or discussing your own or others', conversational discussion is fine. For example:

Assignment Posting

I liked the way that Pence integrated theory and real ethical issues, such as euthanasia, because it makes the theory easier to understand. I'm not certain that I would have understood what he meant by a "delimiting" issue if he hadn't talked about the examples. However, I don't understand the way he uses the word "delimiting;" can someone help me with that? I thought I understood it after reading Mary's comments, which helped a lot with the whole history of euthanasia, but not quite.

Conversational Response

Me, too. When I think "delimiting," I guess I think about making something narrow, but I don't understand how that applies to how we're using it.

As long as you distinguish between an assignment posting, in which detail is required, and conversational discussion, you'll be fine.

What if someone has already said what you planned to say? Well, if it's an assignment posting, you start a new thread, then go ahead and repeat the content, although you can certainly preface your posting with a transitional comment, such as, "Mary and I are on the same page with this assignment," if you like. If it's a conversational discussion, again say something such as, "I agree with Mary," then explain how you arrived at that thought. Chances are that you took different paths to the same conclusion, and those paths are just as important.

Delivery is much simpler to assess, because inappropriate delivery is obvious. In addition, inappropriate delivery is not acceptable in any type of posting.

- Be courteous—don't criticize people and don't belittle what they say. You can critically examine content without being personally offensive.
- NO SHOUTING, THANKS—observe email etiquette.
- Again, College Writing standards apply. Use appropriate capitalization and punctuation; avoid abbreviations and acronyms (nix on the IMHO and LOL).
- Allow time for discussion—post when assigned so that others have adequate time to read and think about your material before responding.

To summarize, assessment of discussion postings depends on 1) the type of posting and 2) appropriate delivery. For assignment postings, you must address each aspect of the assignment and observe College Writing and appropriate delivery standards. For subsequent discussion, you may write more conversationally, but still must observe delivery standards. You are required to respond to discussion threads.

If you do not address each aspect of the assignment in an assignment posting, you will lose points. If you do not participate in conversational follow-up discussion, you will lose points. If you do not follow appropriate delivery standards, you will lose points. If discourteous behavior is involved, your post will be deleted and you will receive NO POINTS FOR THE ENTIRE ASSIGNMENT.

Discussion Rubric (After Pelz, 2004)

Level	Interpretation	
4	Excellent	The comment is 1) accurate, 2) original, 3) relevant, 4) teaches us something, and 5) is well written. Four point comments add substantial teaching presence to a course and stimulate additional thought about the under discussion.
3	Above Average	The comment lacks at least one of the above qualities, but is above average in quality. A level 3 comment makes a significant contribution to our understanding of the issue being discussed.
2	Average	The comment lacks two or three of the required qualities. Comments which are based on personal opinion or personal experience are often within this category.
1	Minimal	The comment presents little or no new information. However, level 1 comment may provide important social presence and contribute to a collegial atmosphere.
0	Unacceptable	The comment adds no value to the discussion.

Pelz, W. 2004. (My) Three principles of effective online pedagogy. *Journal of Asynchronous Learning Networks* 8(3): 33–46.

Discussion Boards

Discussion Boards are a forum for discussion and sharing information among students. Your instructor may create one or more discussions related to the topics you are studying each week.

At the beginning of the quarter, your instructor will set up three standard discussion forums. These three forums will help you and your classmates get off to an immediate start on the course, by providing conversational spaces for necessary, ongoing social and administrative activities. These forums are:

- Introductions
- Course Q&A
- The Club, a social meeting space for discussion that is not directly related to course content. (This has different names in different courses.)

The Q&A forum is where the management and administrative tasks of the course are conducted, and where you can ask 'process' questions and receive answers. You will also find the schedule of specific dates for your course in this forum.

The Club can be used freely for your own conversation (like setting up groups or teams, if these are used in the course).

Electronic Submissions and Communications

It is mandatory to submit work as attachments using Blackboard's Assignment tool on the course web site. An assignment created using this feature can be identified by the pen and scroll icon and will always have a link to the assignment below the instructions. You can navigate to the assignment by navigating the Assignments link on the course menu and selecting the icon on the designated page. Select each assignment link and follow the instructions to upload and submit your files.

Submissions should be sent in Word format and not as zipped files. Zipped files will not be read. After your submission is graded, you can navigate to the "My Grades" link on the course menu to see feedback.

For help using this tool, visit the <http://www.snlonline.net/Current/blackboardresources.asp>

[Top](#)

Policies

Academic Integrity

DePaul University is a learning community that fosters the pursuit of knowledge and the transmission of ideas within a context that emphasizes a sense of responsibility for oneself, for others and for society at large. Violations of academic integrity, in any of their forms, are, therefore, detrimental to the values of DePaul, to the students' own development as responsible members of society, and to the pursuit of knowledge and the transmission of ideas. Violations include but are not limited to the following categories: cheating; plagiarism; fabrication; falsification or sabotage of research data; destruction or misuse of the university's academic resources; alteration or falsification of academic records; and academic misconduct. Conduct that is punishable under the Academic Integrity Policy could result in additional disciplinary actions by other university officials and possible civil or criminal prosecution. Please refer to your Student Handbook or visit <http://studentaffairs.depaul.edu/homehandbook.html> for further details.

Plagiarism: Plagiarism is a major form of academic dishonesty involving the presentation of the work of another as one's own. Plagiarism includes but is not limited to the following:

- The direct copying of any source, such as written and verbal material, computer files, audio disks, video programs or musical scores, whether published or unpublished, in whole or part, without proper acknowledgement that it is someone else's.
- Copying of any source in whole or part with only minor changes in wording or syntax, even with acknowledgement.

- Submitting as one's own work a report, examination paper, computer file, lab report or other assignment that has been prepared by someone else. This includes research papers purchased from any other person or agency.
- The paraphrasing of another's work or ideas without proper acknowledgement.

Plagiarism, like other forms of academic dishonesty, is always a serious matter. If an instructor finds that a student has plagiarized, the appropriate penalty is at the instructor's discretion.

Disability Accommodations

Reasonable accommodations will be provided for students with disabilities on an individualized and flexible basis. The Office of Students with Disabilities (OSD) determines appropriate accommodations through consultation with the student. For certain learning disabilities and/or attention deficit disorders, the Productive Learning Strategies Program (PLuS) determines the appropriate accommodations. See the instructor for more information or call OSD at 773-325-7290 (phone) or 773-325-7296 (TTY); or call PLuS at 773-325-1677.

Incomplete Grades

The intent of the Incomplete grade is to allow students extra time to complete their final assignments. This need arises because, in the closing weeks of the course, they have an event of significant magnitude that adversely affects their ability to complete the course, e.g. serious illness, death in the family, overseas deployment, or natural disaster.

You must request an incomplete grade in writing two weeks before the end of the quarter. Incomplete grades will be considered only after you have satisfactorily completed at least 75 percent of the coursework, and you have such an unexpected, uncontrollable event that prevents you from completing your course. Do not assume that you will qualify for an incomplete. Students who are failing the course at the point where they request an incomplete will not receive one, nor will they be granted after the end of the quarter. Incomplete grades are given at the discretion of the instructor.

If you do receive permission from the instructor to take an incomplete in the course, you will be required to complete a contract with the instructor, specifying how you will finish the missing work within the next two quarters (excluding summer). Incompletes not finished by the end of the second quarter (excluding summer) will automatically become an F grade on your transcript.

Instructors may not change incomplete grades after the end of the grace period without the permission of a college-based Exceptions Committee.

NOTE: In the case of a student who has applied for graduation and who has been approved for an Incomplete in his or her final term, the incomplete must be resolved within the four week grace period before final degree certification.

Protection of Human Subjects

For more information see: <http://research.depaul.edu/>.

Demonstrating the acquisition of competences in this course can involve "interactions"—interviewing and or observing other people—discussing those interviews or observations with other class members and writing them up in one or more final report(s). As such, these activities qualify as "research" with "human subjects" and are subject to University and Federal guidelines. Because it takes place in the context of this course, your research is exempt from approval by the School for New Learning's Local Review Board only under the following conditions:

1. The information you collect is EXCLUSIVELY for the purpose of classroom discussion and will NOT be used after the term is over. If there is any possibility that you will EVER use it in further research or for publication, you must obtain approval from the Local Review Board before you begin.

2. You assess and ensure that no “harm”—physical, mental, or social—does or could result from either your interviews and/or observations or your discussion and/or reports.
3. The privacy and confidentiality of those that you interview or observe must be protected. Unless you receive specific permission, in writing, from the person(s) you interview or observe, please change their names, and make sure that their identity cannot be readily ascertained from the information you provide.
 - a. If you want to use real names and relationships, they must sign an “informed consent” document. For information on creating an “informed consent document” see, for example, <http://www.research.umn.edu/consent>.

[Top](#)

Course Expectations

Time Management and Attendance

SNL’s online courses are **not** self-paced and require a regular time commitment EACH week throughout the quarter.

You are required to log in to your course at least **FOUR** times a week so that you can participate in the ongoing course discussions.

courses are no less time consuming than “face to face” courses. You will have to dedicate some time every day or at least every second day to your studies. A typical four credit hour “face to face” course at SNL involves three hours of classroom meeting per week, plus at least three to six hours of study and homework per week.

This course will require at least the same time commitment, but your learning activities will be spread out through the week. If you have any problems with your technology, or if you need to improve your reading or writing skills, it may take even longer.

The instructor should be notified if your life events do not allow you to participate in the course and the online discussions for more than one week. This is particularly important when there are group discussions or you are working as part of a team.

If you find yourself getting behind, please contact your course instructor immediately.

Your Instructor’s Role

instructor’s role in this course is that of a discussion instructor and learning advisor. It is not their responsibility to make sure you log in regularly and submit your assignments. As instructor, s/he will read all postings to the general discussion forums on a daily basis but may not choose to respond to each posting. You will receive feedback to assignments.

The instructor may choose to designate “office hours” when s/he will be online and available and will immediately respond to questions. Depending on the instructor, this response may be by e-mail, instant messenger or telephone. Otherwise, you will generally receive a response to emailed or posted queries within 48 hours.

Fulltime DePaul Faculty are highly committed to excellence in instruction, however beyond their teaching role for this course they have many other obligations they must also attend to such as conducting research and publishing, going to professional conferences, teaching other courses, working on university and other college committees, assisting their advisees (often > 100) through steps of the degree program, and having a personal/family life outside of the academic community. Likewise, a DePaul part-time faculty member typically has a fulltime job that requires significant daily

attention.

It follows that online students should expect to receive feedback from the instructor of this course during the regular business week, but not on the weekend. The instructor will strive to respond to student questions within a day after a message is sent during the week, but may occasionally have other obligations that result in a slower response time. Feedback on assignments will be made as soon as is possible, but assessment and processing may take several days from the time of submission, particularly with longer writing assignments. The instructor may also choose to assess work in batch waiting until most assignments have been turned in by students. In summary, this course uses an educational facilitator model, which differs from an on-call customer service model.

Your Role as a Student

As an online student, you will be taking a proactive approach to your learning. As the course instructor's role is that of a learning guide, your role is that of the leader in your own learning.

You will be managing your own time so that you can complete the readings, activities and assignments for the course and you will also be expected to take a more active role in peer learning.

You will learn with and from your classmates in the online discussions and group assignments, sharing information and resources and posting your ideas and critiquing and expanding on the ideas of others in a collegial fashion. You are encouraged to bring your questions to the online discussions and respond to each other—do not always wait for the instructor to answer questions.

If events arise in your life that will prevent your attendance in class for one week or more, it is your responsibility to make sure that your instructor is advised at the first possible opportunity. You or a friend or family member can do that by email, postal mail or phone. Someone could also send a note to snlonline@depaul.edu, or call either of the CDE contact numbers listed on page 1 of this guide. This will allow your instructor to assist you to make up missing work. If these events occur early in the quarter and you wait until the end of the quarter before informing your instructor, do not expect to receive an Incomplete.

Final Note

in SNL courses can be an enriching, rewarding experience, and a chance to share ideas in a safe environment.

difficulties at the beginning of an online course are quite normal; solving them is part of every distance learning experience.

Enjoy your journey into lifelong learning!

Credits

This course was designed and produced by Dr. Jennifer K. Holtz and staff at the Center for Distance Education of the School for New Learning of DePaul University.

©2007 School for New Learning, DePaul University. All Rights Reserved by SNL during contractual interval with the Author.

Printed in the USA.

[Top](#)